

answer them to the best of my ability. If there are no questions, Mr. Speaker, I would move the amendment be adopted. Perhaps we ought to adopt the amendment and then answer questions on the amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: All right. May I introduce some guests of Senator Abboud, in the north balcony, 25 fourth graders from Wildewood School in Ralston, Nebraska, accompanied by their teacher. Would you folks please stand and be recognized. Thank you. Senator Schmit, do you wish to adopt your amendment to the amendment first?

SENATOR SCHMIT: I would so move, yes.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Okay.

SENATOR SCHMIT: The amendment to the bill. We should move for the adoption of the committee amendments.

SPEAKER NICHOL: You're talking about the committee amendments.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Yes.

SPEAKER NICHOL: All right. Senator Vickers, did you wish to talk about the committee amendments? All right. Then, Senator Sieck, on the committee amendments.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President, I wonder if Senator Schmit would respond to a couple of questions, please. Senator Schmit, first of all the...just so I am clear, because, as I read the language, it is designed to set up a mechanism for the licensure or certification of either the contractor or the supervisor of people that are in the business of drilling wells or working on wells. The question I would like to ask because it seems to me that is fairly clear on Section 33, but yet on Section 31, at the bottom of the page, on page 17, there is a sentence that speaks about, any individual employed by a water well contractor or pump installation contractor who is not deemed to qualify as either the supervisor...may make application for certification. I guess I would just like to ask you the question what that sentence means, and whether or not we are...it is not the intent, as I understand this, to require each employee to have a certification or a licensure. Did you understand my question?